UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS EASTERN DIVISION

In re:)	Chapter 11
RICHARD-JAMES, INCORPORATED, R.J. DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION and RJ/OF, LLC,)))	Case Nos. 04-10523-RS 04-10524-RS and 04-10526-RS
Debtors.))	(Jointly Administered)

DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO MOTION OF SAFO, LLC FOR LEAVE TO (I) AMEND OR SUPPLEMENT PROOFS OF CLAIM TO INCLUDE INTER-DEBTOR CLAIMS, AND AUTHORITY TO PROSECUTE SUCH INTER-DEBTOR CLAIMS; AND (II) AMEND OR SUPPLEMENT CLAIMS OF SAFO, LLC TO INCLUDE COUNTERCLAIMS ASSERTED IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING

Richard-James, Incorporated, R.J. Development Corporation and RJ/OF, LLC (collectively, the "Debtors") hereby object to the Motion of SAFO, LLC for Leave to (I) Amend or Supplement Proofs of Claim to Include Inter-Debtor Claims, and Authority to Prosecute Such Inter-Debtor Claims; and (II) Amend or Supplement Claims of SAFO, LLC to Include Counterclaims Asserted in Adversary Proceeding (the "Motion"). By the Motion, SAFO, LLC, a putative secured creditor of the Debtors ("SAFO"), seeks an order of this Court (i) granting SAFO leave to amend or supplement its proof of claim filed against the Debtors on October 1, 2004 (the "SAFO POC") to include certain inter-debtor claims, (ii) authorizing SAFO to prosecute such inter-debtor claims, and (iii) granting SAFO leave to amend the SAFO POC to include the counterclaims asserted by SAFO in Adversary Proceeding No. 06-1055 pending before this Court (the "Adversary Proceeding"). SAFO is not entitled to the relief sought by the Motion, because (i) SAFO does not require this Court's permission to file an amended proof of claim, (ii) the

purported inter-debtor claims identified by SAFO (the "Debtor Claims") are not claims held by SAFO and therefore could not properly be the subject of a proof of claim filed by SAFO, (iii) SAFO lacks standing to prosecute the Debtor Claims, and has failed to assert the necessary predicate for entitlement to such standing, and (iv) any counterclaims asserted by SAFO in the Adversary Proceeding will be adjudicated in the Adversary Proceeding and cannot serve as grounds for additional, affirmative relief against the Debtors, so there is no need for such counterclaims to also be the subject of a proof of claim.¹

SAFO Does Not Require Leave of Court to File an Amended Proof of Claim

Nothing prohibits SAFO from filing an amended proof of claim covering whatever claims SAFO may assert. True, the deadline for the filing of proofs of claim has passed. But SAFO has not requested relief from the bar date, nor asserted any grounds for such relief were it properly requested. All SAFO has requested is leave of this Court to file a document asserting an amended claim.² Nothing prohibits SAFO from filing an amended proof of claim absent this Court's order.³ Consequently there is no purpose to be served through issuance of such an order, and no basis for the requested "relief" seeking issuance of a superfluous order. See, e.g., Patti v. Fred Ehrlich, PC, 304 B.R. 182, 185 (E.D. Pa. 2003) (no need for superfluous order vacating judgment that was void *ab initio* as violative of automatic stay); In re Briskey, 258 B.R. 473, 477 (Bankr. M.D. Ala. 2001) (in denying debtor's "Motion for Release of Garnishment," court noted that debtor was seeking entry of superfluous order, inasmuch as motion asked court to

¹ Moreover, the request for relief sought by the Motion may well be mooted by SAFO's most recent plan proposal to the Creditors' Committee.

² The Debtors reserve all rights to object to any amended proof(s) of claim filed by SAFO.

³ <u>Compare</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), made applicable in adversary proceedings by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7015, which requires leave of court to amend a complaint after the filing of a responsive pleading.

order creditor to do what was already required by automatic stay); <u>In re Mittco, Inc.</u>, 44 B.R. 35, 38 (Bankr. E.D. Wisc. 1984) (request for protective order denied as superfluous where request merely sought compliance with governing procedural rule).

The Debtor Claims Cannot Properly Be Asserted By SAFO

The Debtor Claims are, by definition, causes of action belonging to the Debtors' estates. As such, the Debtors (as debtors-in-possession) have complete authority to prosecute the Debtor Claims. 11 U.S.C. §§ 323, 1107. SAFO cites no legal authority for the proposition that it be permitted to file a proof of claim covering claims that, indisputably, do not belong to SAFO.⁴

SAFO Lacks, and Cannot Be Granted, Standing to Prosecute the Debtor Claims

As noted, only the Debtors can prosecute the Debtor Claims. It is well-settled that a cause of action belonging to a debtor's estate cannot be prosecuted by an individual creditor absent the trustee's consent, or the trustee's abandonment of the action. See, e.g., Regan v. Vinick & Young (In re Rare Coin Galleries of America, Inc.), 862 F.2d 896, 901 (1st Cir. 1988) ("The trustee steps into the shoes of the debtor for the purposes of asserting or maintaining the debtor's causes of actions, which become property of the estate."); Parker v. Wendy's Int'l, Inc., 365 F.3d 1268, 1272 (11th Cir. 2004) (trustee is estate representative with sole standing to prosecute causes of action belonging to the estate); United States ex rel. Gebert v. Transp. Admin. Servs., 260 F.3d 909, 914-15 (8th Cir. 2001) (holding that causes of action pass to the estate on filing); Wieburg v. GTE Sw., Inc., 272 F.3d 302, 306 (5th Cir. 2001) (trustee has exclusive standing to assert claims that are the property of the bankruptcy estate); DiMaio Family Pizza & Luncheonette, Inc. v. Charter Oak Fire Ins. Co., 349 F.Supp.2d 128, 132 (D. Mass. 2004)

⁴ Indeed, one might reasonably construe the Motion as a request for leave to violate 18 U.S.C. § 152(4).

(holding that cause of action is property of the estate and appropriate remedy for interested party is to petition court to force trustee to either initiate suit or abandon the estate's interest in the cause of action). SAFO has not asserted that the Debtors have agreed to let SAFO prosecute the Debtor Claims. SAFO has not asserted that the Debtors have abandoned the Debtor Claims. Consequently, SAFO has not asserted the necessary predicate to be granted standing to prosecute the Debtor Claims.

SAFO Should Not Be Granted Leave to Further Assert the Counterclaims

SAFO seeks leave to amend the SAFO POC "to include the counterclaims brought by SAFO in [the Adversary Proceeding], to the extent such claims have not already been asserted." (emphasis added). By definition, the counterclaims brought by SAFO in the Adversary Proceeding have been asserted—they have been asserted in the Adversary Proceeding, and will be adjudicated by this Court in that proceeding. No legitimate purpose would be served by permitting SAFO to further assert the counterclaims in an independent action. And, as noted above, SAFO does not require leave of this Court to file an amended proof of claim in any event.

Conclusion

SAFO has failed to assert (much less establish) grounds for the relief requested by the Motion. As set forth above, there is no basis for granting SAFO the relief requested by the Motion. Consequently, the Motion must be denied.

5

⁵ To the extent that the counterclaims should have been the subject of a timely filed proof of claim, SAFO cannot successfully assert them for the first time in the Adversary Proceeding. See Browner v. Rosen, 56 B.R. 214, 216-218 (D. Mass. 1985) (sole means of asserting affirmative right to recovery from a debtor's estate based on a prepetition claim is through timely filing of a proof of claim); Branch v. F.D.I.C., 223 B.R. 605, 610 (D. Mass. 1998) (Chapter 7 trustee entitled to summary judgment dismissing defendant's counterclaims asserted in adversary proceeding commenced by trustee, on grounds that defendant's failure to assert claims through timely proof of claim barred successful assertion of claims in adversary proceeding).

Dated this 19th day of April, 2006.

RJ/OF, LLC, RICHARD-JAMES, INC., and R.J. DEVELOPMENT CORP.

By their counsel,

/s/ Nathan R. Soucy

A. Davis Whitesell (BBO #551462) Nathan R. Soucy (BBO #660914) COHN WHITESELL & GOLDBERG LLP 101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 951-2505 Facsimile: (617) 951-0679

Email: whitesell@cwg11.com

G:\data\958p\objection to SAFO for leave2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nathan R. Soucy, hereby certify that on April 19, 2006, I caused a copy of the foregoing Debtors' Objection to Motion of SAFO, LLC for Leave to (I) Amend or Supplement Proofs of Claim to Include Inter-Debtor Claims, and Authority to Prosecute Such Inter-Debtor Claims; and (II) Amend or Supplement Claims of SAFO, LLC to Include Counterclaims Asserted in Adversary Proceeding to be served as indicated on the list below, upon the following parties:

Daniel S. Bleck (By ECF)

Mints, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02111

dbleck@mintz.com

(Counsel to R.J. Sponsor, Inc.)

Eric K. Bradford (By ECF)

U. S. Trustee's Office

Thomas P. O'Neill Fed. Bldg.

10 Causeway Street, 11th floor

Boston, MA 02222

Eric.K.Bradford@USDOJ.gov

Vincent J. Canzoneri (By ECF)

Foley Hoag LLP

155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, MA 02210-2600

bctnotices@foleyhoag.com

(Counsel to Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors)

M. Ellen Carpenter (By ECF)

Roach & Carpenter, P.C.

24 School Street

Boston, MA 02108

mec@rc-law.com

(Counsel to Wayne and Diane Richard)

Daniel J. Carragher (By ECF)

Day, Berry & Howard

260 Franklin Street

21st Floor

Boston, MA 02110

djcarragher@dbh.com

(Cousel to Alastair Carruthers and Jean Carruthers)

Case 04-10523 Doc 472 Filed 04/19/06 Entered 04/19/06 14:55:16 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 8

eCAST Settlement Corporation (By US Mail)

PO Box 35480

Newark, NJ 07193-5480

PRO SE

John Fitzgerald (By ECF)

Office of the US Trustee 10 Causeway Street Boston, MA 02222

USTPRegion01.BO.ECF@USDOJ.GOV

Stephen F. Gordon (By ECF)

Gordon Haley LLP Counsellors at Law 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 sgordon@gordonhaley.com

(Counsel to Sol W. Balkin)

(By US Mail)

Barbara K. Hamilton Becket and Lee LLP

P.O. Box 3001

Malvern, PA 19355-0701

Jennifer L. Hertz (By ECF)

Duane Morris LLP 470 Atlantic Avenue

Suite 500

Boston, MA 02210

jlhertz@duanemorris.com

(Counsel to SAFO, L.L.C.)

Kenneth S. Leonetti (By ECF)

Foley Hoag LLP

Seaport World Trade Center West

155 Seaport Boulevard Boston, MA 02210 kleonett@foleyhoag.com

(Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors)

Massachusetts Department of Revenue (By US Mail)

100 Cambridge Street Boston, MA 02114-9565 oconnorjl@dor.state.ma.us

Case 04-10523 Doc 472 Filed 04/19/06 Entered 04/19/06 14:55:16 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 8

Whitton E. Norris, III

(By ECF)

David, Malm & D'Agostine, PC

One Boston Place Boston, MA 02108

wnorris@davismalm.com

(counsel to GG&R Associates)

Mark J. Pandiscio (By US Mail)

Pandiscio and Pandiscio PC 470 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02451

PRO SE

Roger W. Rosendahl (By US Mail)

Kaye Scholer LLP 425 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10011-3598

(Counsel to SAFO, L.L.C.)

Douglas B. Rosner (By ECF)

Goulston & Storrs 400 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02110-3333

(617) 482-1776

drosner@goulstonstorrs.com

(Counsel to Skincare Physicians of Chestnut Hill,

Jeffrey S. Dover, Kenneth Arndt, Michael Seth Kaminer)

Andrew Z. Schwartz (By ECF)

Foley Hoag LLP 155 Seaport Boulevard Boston, MA 02210 bctnotices@foleyhoag.com

(Counsel to Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors)

Dated: April 19, 2006

/s/ Nathan R. Soucy

Nathan R. Soucy (BBO #660914)

COHN WHITESELL & GOLDBERG LLP

101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110

Tel.: (617) 951-2505 Fax: (617) 951-0679 Email: soucy@cwg11.com